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**A. Overview**  
The manuscript can potentially contribute to the field of high blood pressure (BP). However, some major corrections are still necessary for acceptance.

1. Please include an additional paragraph discussing the concept of a paradigm before introducing the research paradigm.

2. Constructivism and interpretivism are closely related but not identical, as many philosophers believe. You have grouped them under a common title. Could you please provide clarification?

1. Thank you. We have addressed this in the revised manuscript. Please see page 3 lines 51-58.

2. Thank you for pointing out this ambiguity in our description. Constructivism and interpretivism share the same ontology - they both believe that there are multiple realities that are socially constructed by individuals through their experiences and interactions. However, they differ in their epistemology - constructivism researchers focus on how individuals construct their own realities through interactions with others, while interpretivism focus on understanding how individuals experience and interpret their reality. Additionally, both constructivism and interpretivism are qualitative research paradigms in terms of their research methodology. Based on your comment, we have
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<td>3. The entire text has been written in a personalized manner; however, some of the information is not original to the author and needs to be properly cited. For instance, lines 61-65 require appropriate referencing.</td>
<td>3. Thank you for your comment. In the light of your comment, we have included appropriate citations in the concerned section. Please see page 3 and 4, lines 71-78.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2. Author coauthor contribution should follow the Journal's style.  
3. Cite the references in superscript.  
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1. Thank you. We have made the change.  
2. Thank you. We have made appropriate modifications  
3. Thank you. We have made the change.  
4. Thank you. We have done so.  
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